Translation







537,730

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 97 940/Ja:Bu	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP2003/012731	14 November 200	3 (14.11.2003)	05 December 2002 (05.12.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC F03B 17/06							
Applicant BUTTLER, Ernst							
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 5 sheets, i	ncluding this cover s	sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I Basis of the report							
II Priority	II Priority						
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of in	IV Lack of unity of invention						
Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
VI Certain documents cited							
VII Certain defects in the international application							
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report							
29 May 2004 (29.05.2004)		16 September 2004 (16.09.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/012731

	of the report	
1. With	regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	the international application as originally filed	
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the description:	
63		
	1-12	, as originally file
		, filed with the dema
\boxtimes	pages, filed with the	ne letter of
	the claims:	
	pages 4-22	, as originally file
	pages, as amen	ded (together with any statement under Article 1
		, filed with the demar
	, filed with the	02 July 2004 (02.07.2004)
	me drawnigs:	
	pages 1/6-6/6	, as originally file
		filed with the doman
	pages, filed with the	e letter of
the	e sequence listing part of the description:	
Į.	pages	
ŗ	pages	m
P	pages, filed with the	letter of
With repreliming of fine fine fine fine fine fine fine fin	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.36 the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international por 55.3). The language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international por 55.3). The language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international application are examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: somation of the international application in written form. The latest the international application in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is the statement that the statement that the information recorded in the statement that the statement that the statement that the sta	(b)). preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and the international application, the international does not go beyond the disclosure in the
Thi bey Replacem n this re and 70.17	the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig the drawings as if (some of) the amendments had not been yound the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2) then the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to the sheets.	o an invitation under Article 14 are referred to hey do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
iny repia	recement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I	and annexed to this report.

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claima	1 4 22	VES		

Novelty (N) Claims 1, 4-22Claims NO 1, 4-22 YES Inventive step (IS) Claims Claims NO 1, 4-22 Industrial applicability (IA) Claims YES Claims NO

2. Citations and explanations

 The new independent claim 1 contains the features of the original claims 1-3.

The present application relates to a hydropower plant for generating electricity.

US-A-4 868 408 is regarded as the closest prior art. Said document describes a hydropower unit with a rotor, a generator and a float for a hydraulic turbine, the hydraulic turbine being held below the surface of the water in a floating state and also optionally being acted upon by a gaseous medium or flooded with water.

Said document does not disclose adjustable rotor blades and a rotor axis designed as a hollow axis. Therefore, the new claim 1 is novel.

None of the cited documents contains anything that suggests, for a hydropower plant, designing the rotor axis, which serves as a float for the hydraulic turbine, also as a hollow axis.

Therefore, claim 1 involves an inventive step.

The subject matter of claim 1 can be manufactured and used industrially for or together with hydropower plants.

Dependent claims 4-22 define preferred embodiments of the hydropower plant in claim 1 and therefore satisfy the criteria for novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability in the same way.

- 2. The embodiment of the rotor axis as a hollow axis is an essential feature of the new independent claim 1. Owing to the embodiment in the description on page 9, lines 24-26, according to which in several embodiments the rotor axis is not designed as a hollow axis, the application contains embodiments that do not come under the valid claim 1. This contradiction between claim 1 and the description causes doubt as to the subject matter for which protection is sought, and therefore this claim lacks clarity (PCT Article 6).
- 3. The applicant has not indicated any reasons why the claim should not be drafted in the two-part form. Furthermore, he has not indicated clearly in the description what features of the subject matter of claim 1 are already known from US-A-4 868 408; see PCT Guidelines, paragraph III-2.3a.
- 4. The description has not been brought in line with the new independent claim 1.
- 5. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description neither cites US-A-4 868 408 nor indicates the relevant prior art disclosed therein.

6. Because dependent claims 2 and 3 have been deleted, the back references in the remaining dependent claims 4-22 no longer correspond to their numbering.